

**LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY
OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS**

301 State House
(317) 232-9855

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 6699

BILL NUMBER: HB 1704

DATE PREPARED: Feb 11, 2001

BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: Property Tax Freeze for Elderly Homeowners.

FISCAL ANALYST: Bob Sigalow

PHONE NUMBER: 232-9859

FUNDS AFFECTED:

**GENERAL
DEDICATED
FEDERAL**

IMPACT: State & Local

Summary of Legislation: This bill provides a property tax credit to a homestead owner at least 65 years of age so that the owner's net property tax liability on a homestead will not, in future years, be greater than the individual's net property tax liability in the first year the individual qualified for the credit. It provides that the property tax credit is payable from the Property Tax Replacement Fund and it annually appropriates from the fund an amount sufficient to pay for the property tax credits.

Effective Date: Upon passage.

Explanation of State Expenditures: Beginning with taxes payable in CY 2002, this proposal would permit homeowners who are least 65 years of age to file a statement with the county auditor claiming a credit against their net property tax bills. The credit would be equal to 100% of the qualifying taxpayer's increase in net residential property taxes since the taxpayer's base year.

Estimation Issues: In estimating the impact of this bill, it was assumed that the currently proposed real property assessment rule will be used to revalue property for the next reassessment. It was also assumed that the next reassessment will apply to property assessed in 2002 with taxes first paid in 2003 as mandated in the latest order from the Indiana Tax Court.

The total cost estimate in this analysis may be low. Currently available data does not allow more exact measurements of the reassessment tax increases on the specific homes owned by the elderly. These homes may be older and could experience a larger increase than the average under the proposed real property assessment manual. Also, data on tax increases is only available down to the district level. If a district has homes with tax decreases, then the decreases cause the total district residential tax increase to be underestimated.

Fiscal Analysis: In CY 2002, the increase in net property taxes to be paid by all homeowners age 65 who experience increases is estimated to be at least \$19.0 M over their CY 2001 net liabilities. This difference

is mostly due to the reduction of the Homestead Credit from 10% to 4% under current law. The CY 2003 increase over the CY 2001 base is estimated to be at least \$78.2 M. This increase is due mostly to the effects of reassessment. Assuming a 2% growth in net tax rates after CY 2003, the dollar amount of the credit under this bill is estimated to be at least \$19.0 M in CY 2002, \$78.2 M in CY 2003, \$83.6 M in CY 2004, and \$89.1 M in CY 2005. On a fiscal year basis, the cost of the credit to the state is estimated to be at least \$9.5 M in FY 2002 (½ year), \$48.6 M in FY 2003, \$80.9 M in FY 2004, and \$86.3 M in FY 2005.

This credit would be paid from the Property Tax Replacement Fund (PTRF). This fund is annually supplemented by the General Fund to meet obligations. An increase of expenditures from the PTRF would ultimately impact the General Fund.

Explanation of State Revenues: The credit would also reduce the cost of the state income tax deduction for homestead property tax payments up to \$2,500. This deduction was enacted by P.L. 273 -1999 and is effective for tax years beginning January 1, 1999. Since the property tax bills for those taxpayers that qualify for this new property tax credit would be reduced under this bill, the amount of the income tax deduction that they would qualify for would also be reduced. The reduction in the amount claimed would result in gain in state revenue estimated to be at least \$650,000 in FY 2003, \$2.7 M in FY 2004 and \$2.8 M in FY 2005. Revenue from the Adjusted Gross Income Tax is deposited in the General Fund.

The net cost of the property tax credit to the state (credit cost less income tax gain) is estimated to be at least \$9.5 M in FY 2002, \$48.0 M in FY 2003, \$78.2 M in FY 2004, and \$83.5 M in FY 2005. This impact assumes that all eligible recipients of the proposed credit will file for the credit as soon as it would be effective.

A person who knowingly or intentionally files a false claim under this provision would be obligated to pay back the amount of falsely obtained credits plus interest for deposit into the PTRF.

Explanation of Local Expenditures: Local governments would be responsible for printing and processing the claim forms for the credit. This would create an indeterminable cost increase for the County Auditor's offices.

Explanation of Local Revenues: There would be no impact on local tax revenues. Local units of government would continue to receive the same total tax revenues, regardless of the source.

State Agencies Affected: State Board of Tax Commissioners; Indiana Department of Revenue.

Local Agencies Affected: County Auditors.

Information Sources: Property Tax Analysis, State Board of Tax Commissioners; Local Government Database; Consumer Expenditure Survey, U.S. Bureau of Labor and Statistics.